

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/193,647		11/17/1998	ROBERT E. USNER	D1077+11	2284	
28995	7590	04/06/2005	EXAMINER			
RALPH E. JOCKE walker & jocke LPA				ELISCA, PIERRE E		
231 SOUTH BROADWAY MEDINA, OH 44256				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621			
				DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



W

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/193,647	USNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Pierre E. Elisca	3621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** 

### A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Any	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status						
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 February 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
A44						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  r No(s)/Mail Date  4)					

Application/Control Number: 09/193,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to the remand dated June 29, 2004.

2. Claims 1-19 are pending.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-19 rejected under judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of Pat. No. 6,598,023. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. It would have been obvious to those in possession of the invention defined by claims 1-25 of Pat. No. 6,598,023 to have added a card reader device, and a currency sheet dispenser. Those in possession of the invention would have been motivated to use a card reader device, and a currency dispenser as recited in claims 1-25 of Pat. No. 6,598,023 in order to permit user access to the ATM. One or ordinary skill in the art would have observed that the limitations of Applicant's claims 1-19 are already included in Pat. No. 6,598,023, as such they are an obvious variation of the invention, defined in the claims 1-25 of Pat. No. 6,598,023 see., In re Karlson, 136USPQ (CCPA 1963).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/193,647 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

**Primary patent Examiner** 

February 24, 2005